

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/729,323	12/04/2000	Shlomo Nahmias	NAHS10A	6239
7590 07/12/2004			EXAMINER	
RICHARD L. 12 Parkside Dr			RETTA, YEHDEGA	
Dix Hills, NY 11746-4879			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/729,323	NAHMIAS, SHLOMO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yehdega Retta	3622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 A</u>	oril 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 11-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 11-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

舞蹈時報。這個第一日的一直工作。所有的工人也認思的影響的影響。如此的行為被機能達得的機能等的關鍵。如此的主要相應的Windien

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This office action is responsive to amendment filled April 5, 2004. Claims 1,11 and 17 have been amended and claims 2-10 have been cancelled. Claims 1 and 11-20 are now pending.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 5, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The rejection of 35 USC § 101 still applies since the amended claim does not recite any steps being performed by any technological means. Therefore, the claimed invention is not within the technological art. The deficiency can be overcome by simply expressly stating the use of the technological arts such as a computer processor in the body of the claim. Applicant needs to include language, within the scope of applicant's disclosure that indicates the use of technology (example, computer or processor) to perform any of the claimed limitations. No new matter should be added.

Applicant's citation of court cases is noted, however none of the case apply to the rejection of 35 USC § 102. Applicant argues that the prior art does not teach determining if there is a problem getting the check to the school and if there is a problem, sending the check to the credit card holder. The prior art might not teach the condition when or if there is a problem getting the check to the school but it teaches if there is no problem getting the check to the school. Since applicant is claiming an "if statement", there is no step performed when the situation does not occur, i.e., when there is no problem getting the check to the school. In other words when it is determined that there is no problem getting the check to the school, the chance is that there is not going to be a problem sending (mailing) a check to school, the recited step of

Art Unit: 3622

sending the check directly to the card holder and forwarding the check to the school by the card holder are not performed. Therefore, the prior art teaches claimed invention i.e., when the condition of step t) (sending the check to school) is not a problem.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1 and 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis for this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of: (1) Whether the invention is within the technological art: and (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, low of nature, natural phenomena) that don not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical science as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process claim to pass muster, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

In the present case, claims 1 and 11-20 only recite an abstract idea. The recited steps of merely paying, placing, does not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts since the recited step can be performed in the mind of user or by use of a pencil and paper. Since the

Art Unit: 3622

claimed invention, as a whole, is not within the technological arts, claim 1, and claims depending from are deemed to be directed to non-statutory matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Brizendine et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,484,147.

Regarding claims 1, 11-20, Brizendine teaches placing a percentage of credit card sales in a bank account..., paying a percentage of credit card sales at a predetermined period, applying for a credit card, issuing a credit card, charging the credit card holder an amount, accumulating points...converting the points to dollars, requesting redemption of the points accumulated, etc.

Art Unit: 3622

(see fig. 1-15 and col. 1 line 55 to col. 2 line 11, col. 3 line 61 to col. 10 line 50 and col. 12 line 3 to col. 14 line 22).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yehdega Retta whose telephone number is (703) 305-0436. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (703) 305-8469. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yehdega Retta Primary Examiner Art Unit 3622

YR